EXHIBIT 4 Deposition of Michael Peel

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

IMELDA CUYUGAN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 4:15-cv-00260-RCC

PIMA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT, LEE LAMBERT, in his personal capacity,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL PEEL

Tucson, Arizona

April 19, 2016

CERTIFIED COPY

RAYNBO COURT REPORTING, LTD.

3625 West Gailey Drive Tucson, Arizona 85741 520/744-2293

Reported by: Raynbo Silva, RPR, CR

Certified Reporter No. 50014

5 (Pages 14-17)

MICHAEL PEEL 4/19/2016

16 1 and a number of other individuals, I don't recall everybody. 1 college on its priorities. And community members could join 2 I don't know if I've met everybody after that, so I don't 2 that advocacy network online. 3 recall everybody. Q. Do you know whose idea it was to acquire that Q. Was it a large panel? 4 database? 5 A. No. It was maybe five, I think, from what I can A. That was Ms. Cuyugan's as far as I understand what 6 recall. was told to me. I was not involved in the purchase or 7 Q. After that interview did you have a second 7 development of the idea. I was asked to help implement that idea. 8 interview? 8 9 Q. So Ms. Cuyugan was going to be your supervisor; 10 Q. Who was in that second interview? 10 correct? 11 A. Dr. Harris, Dr. Zelema Harris. 11 12 12 Q. Based on your interactions with your supervisor, Q. Anyone else? 13 13 Ms. Cuyugan, did you have a good relationship? A. Ms. Cuyugan. 14 A. Yes. For the most part until what occurred at the 14 Q. Any other interview after that? 15 end, but I would say yes. 15 A. There was no other interview after that. 16 Q. So now I'm referring to the time period while she 16 Q. Who offered you the position? 17 was your supervisor. 17 A. I was offered the position by Dr. Harris a few 18 A. Okay. 18 weeks later, the end of January --19 19 Q. So you're saying that you did have a good Q. How? relationship with her; correct? 20 A. -- 2014. 20 21 A. Yes. 21 I was, I was given a call on the phone to let me 22 Q. Based on your interactions with her did you 22 know that I would be -- I was, I was the successful 23 respect her? 23 candidate and I would be followed up soon -- that would be 24 24 followed up soon with a letter from H.R., which was I think A. Yes. 25 within a week or so after that an official letter from H.R. 25 Q. Based on your interactions did you believe that 17 15 1 was sent to my e-mail. 1 she knew what she was doing as in her position? Q. Who called you? Who called you to offer that? MS. STATON: Object to the form, foundation. MS. STATON: Objection, asked and answered. 3 Go ahead. 3 4 Go ahead and tell her. O. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Dr. Zelema Harris called. 5 A. As far as I could tell, as far as the information 6 6 that was provided to me. I was not always provided a lot of 7 A. And then the e-mail was sent from H.R. a week 7 information, very, actually, very often not provided much 8 later or so, thereabouts. 8 information about what was going on in Phoenix. And so as Q. What position was offered to you by Pima Community 9 9 far as I knew, but I was somewhat in the dark about a lot of 10 College? what was occurring at the lobbying level or I would say 11 A. The position of government relations advanced 11 extensively in the dark about that. And then I did not have 12 all the information, but as far as I could tell. 13 Q. Did they explain to you what duties you would be Q. Based on your interactions with her and the ideas 14 performing? 14 that you exchanged, was it your perception that she knew 15 A. Yes. what she was doing? 16 O. What were those? 16 MS. STATON: Object to the form, foundation. 17 A. They included research on anything government 17 Q. You can answer. 18 related, especially state and local government was the 18 MS. STATON: Yes. 19 focus, and in addition supporting Ms. Cuyugan with any 19 You can answer the question if you can.

20

21

THE WITNESS: Sure.

Again, as far as I could tell, she seemed to be

22 knowledgeable and doing the research necessary, but I was

24 important to note, so I didn't have full, full sense of how

25 the legislative priorities had been developed, what really

23 coming in mid-legislative session, which I think is

20 events that would be put on with legislators and supporting

21 legislative priorities and the lobbying work being done for

23 database called La Pima, was the name was that chosen to

24 develop this new database we had purchased for a grassroots

22 the college, and in addition, developing an advocacy

25 advocacy system for the City of Tucson to support the

(Pages 26-29)

MICHAEL PEEL 4/19/2016

28 MS. BONILLA: -- and I wouldn't like Ms. Staton to 1 didn't know whether she wanted to do a good evaluation or 2 not a good evaluation; correct? 2 be instructing him as to not answering or stopping 3 MS. STATON: Object to the form, argumentative, 3 questions MS. STATON: I will instruct Mr. Peel as I see 5 THE WITNESS: I didn't know whether she wanted to 5 fit. He is here to answer your questions. I just don't do a good or a bad evaluation; correct. 6 want him to go on forever and ever because this will take 7 Q. Thank you. When did you first learn about the 7 forever and ever. So if you have a question, ask him. fact that -- well, let's strike that. 8 8 Did anyone tell you that the department of state 9 MS. BONILLA: I have the right to ask questions, 10 and government relations was going to be eliminated? 10 and he has the right to answer the questions as he sees fit. 11 THE WITNESS: I was finished. I just said it was A. No. 11 12 MS. STATON: You mean other than Ms. Cuyugan? 12 alarming three months into the job, not even three -- yeah, 13 A. Veah. 13 three months basically into the job. MS. STATON: I mean do you mean somebody --14 14 Q. It was alarming for you to learn that the 15 because he had already testified she told him that. 15 department was being eliminated; correct? 16 A. You mean anyone other than Ms. Cuyugan? 16 A. Apparently. That's what I was being told at the 17 MS. BONILLA: If you have an objection, I ask that 17 time. And then it was less alarming, obviously, when I was 18 you please state your objection but not try to restate my 18 called in a few hours later and told that what Ms. Cuyugan 19 questions, Ms. Staton. 19 told me was not correct, that I was not being let go or even 20 MS. STATON: Well, I'm not but I don't want to --20 potentially being let go. 21 THE WITNESS: Yeah. No. It's important. 21 Q. Do you have any information as to what Ms. Cuyugan 22 Q. So did anyone tell you that the department of 22 was told by Dr. Harris? state and local government relations would be eliminated is 23 MS. STATON: Object to the form, lacks foundation. 24 my question? 24 THE WITNESS: I had very little information from 25 MS. STATON: Objection then, asked and answered. 25 what Ms. Cuyugan told us. She said that -- she was very 27 THE WITNESS: Right. 1 upset so that she did not get into extensive detail about 2 MS. STATON: Go ahead. 2 their conversation other than we were being eliminated and 3 Q. Go ahead. Answer. she was not clear why. A. Prior to my conversation with Dr. Harris after I recall Ms. Cuyugan having concerns about the 5 Ms. Cuyugan told us, told the unit that it was being

6 eliminated on that date and later that afternoon I was told 7 that, no, nobody told me that. Nobody told me that the 8 office was being eliminated prior to Dr. Harris calling me 9 after that I was told by Ms. Cuyugan that the department was 11 Q. So Ms. Cuyugan was the only person that told you 12 that the department was being eliminated; correct?

13 14 Q. Did Ms. Cuyugan tell you who told her that? 15 A. Dr. Harris. She said she had had a meeting with

16 Dr. Harris, and she was very upset and speculating about why 17 it might be and didn't have the answers. 18

And I certainly had no answers, so it was alarming 19 to say the least. It's --

20 MS. STATON: Okay. You answered the question.

21

22 MS. BONILLA: For the record I would just like 23 Mr. Peel to feel very comfortable answering questions in

24 answering his question --

25 MS. STATON: That's fine. 5 restructuring that could be occurring weeks prior, which was 6 concerning to me. As an employee, it was stressing me out a 7 lot to hear my supervisor so concerned and worried about the

And so that's all I knew is that I felt this sense 10 of a problem or issue was going to be arising based on her 11 concern. But I had no information myself about what could 12 be occurring until that day when she came in and said that 13 the department was being eliminated.

8 future of the department for reasons I had no idea about.

14 Q. So do you believe what Ms. Cuyugan told you about 15 the department being eliminated was true or false?

16 MS. STATON: Object to the form.

17 THE WITNESS: I, I believed her. I had no reason 18 to believe otherwise that she would -- why she would lie 19 about that, so I definitely believed her.

20 I was skeptical why I wouldn't have been called to 21 be told that I was being let go, though. I had some belief 22 optimism, that I would at least be -- there would be an

23 opportunity for me to stay on. I had done nothing to be let

24 go except work hard at the job I was doing.

So I was optimistic that there would still be a

29

9 (Pages 30-33)

MICHAEL PEEL 4/19/2016

1 role for me. I was hopeful, at least. Otherwise, no, I did A. My duties are still government relations, 2 not think she was misstating that. community relations as well, which overlaps at times. And so my title is now community and government Q. So the truth is that the department was not 4 relations advanced analyst officially. And I'm also a 4 eliminated, it was just that Ms. Cuyugan's and Pilita were 5 lobbyist for the college, an employee lobbyist, which I being terminated but you were staying; correct? wasn't prior, so that's why I was confused by your question. MS. STATON: Object to the form, foundation, 6 7 misstates the evidence. I am using my skills from past work as a lobbyist THE WITNESS: The restructuring was occurring. It now that I have been asked to take those duties on. 8 9 Q. That was my question. was unclear how it was going to play out. But the way that 10 Ms. Cuyugan had stated it was all of us were being let go 10 A. Yes. Yes. But prior I had not been -- when I 11 and the department was being eliminated as far as she could 11 started I was not a lobbyist for the college. I was not 12 asked to do that. And we ended up hiring a contract 12 tell from the conversations she had had. 13 Q. So based on your knowledge the truth is -- and lobbyist as well. 14 So there's our contract lobbyist and then myself 14 misstatement of evidence is not an objection. 15 and my director, Libby Howell, were asked to be employee MS. STATON: Go ahead and ask your question. 15 16 lobbyists, and we're registered with the state for lobbying 16 Q. The truth is that you were not -- your position 17 was not eliminated; correct? 17 as we've done more extensive work in Phoenix as needed MS. STATON: Object to the form, foundation. 18 18 depending on the legislative priority. And we focus on 19 priorities as needed, such as expenditure limitation. 19 Misstates the evidence. 20 We just were able to successfully work with the 20 Go ahead THE WITNESS: My position was not eliminated. 21 Arizona Community College Coordinating Council to pass a 21 22 22 bill very beneficial for the college in March. So we're O. Correct? 23 A. Right. I found that out after I was told I might thrilled that that was able to occur. And it was a major 23 24 be by Ms. Cuyugan. 24 benefit and achievement for the community colleges in 25 Arizona. 25 Q. So you continued working in that department and

you continued -- your position was not eliminated; correct? MS. STATON: Object to the form, asked and 3 answered THE WITNESS: My position was not eliminated. 4 5 Q. Correct? A. Correct. 7 Q. Okay. So --A. The department was changing. As I mentioned, the 9 restructuring was occurring during that time period. It was 10 a lengthy process. So that had not been settled upon about 11 how that would look, and that was not explained to me at 12 that time how that would play out right away. 13 Q. And how was it later explained to you? 14 A. It was explained to me that the needs of the 15 college were changing, and capacity issues and such being 16 what they are with funding and staffing and everything that 17 it was being looked at in a more collaborative way, not as 18 unit based, not as much as an exclusive to one unit working 19 on government relations or community relations, that it 20 would be more of a larger scale effort. And I was then to 21 report to Rachelle Howell. 22 Q. Are you still using your skills as a lobbyist?

A. Can you restate the question?

Q. Are you still using your skills -- let me ask you

25 something. What do you do now? What are your duties?

23

31 33 Q. Wonderful. 1 So basically what I'm understanding and I want you 3 to explain to me is that the department itself was not 4 eliminated but reorganized, correct, where you have now taken more of the duties that Ms. Cuyugan was performing? 6 MS. STATON: Object to the form, foundation. 7 THE WITNESS: I -- could you please restate the 8 question? Q. Sure. 10 A. It seemed that there were multiple questions 11 there. 12 Q. Sure. So the government relations aspect is 13 still, the department of government relations in a way 14 exists to this day; correct? 15 A. It's been consolidated under media, community and 16 government relations. And soon after the restructuring 17 began federal relations was also included in that, whereas 18 before it had been designated to other individuals to focus 19 more on that. 20 I don't know the full history of why that 21 occurred, but the decision was made to bring it all together 22 to have more of a concerted effort, again, more of a 23 collaborative effort with the individuals involved. 24 So that's what the restructuring ended up being,

25 being restructured as is to be looking at media, community

10 (Pages 34-37)

MICHAEL PEEL 4/19/2016

36 1 and government relations together. 1 Howell, who is also, as I mentioned before, an employee Q. So basically what happened is that there was a 2 lobbyist along with myself. 3 reorganization; correct? Q. So is Libby the same person as Rachelle? A. Yes. A. No. 5 Q. And under that reorganization the position of Q. So who is Libby Howell? 6 lobbying for Pima Community College was placed under another A. She is the executive director of media, community 7 department? 7 and government relations as of last May, starting last May of 2015. 8 A. Can you restate the question? 8 Q. Under the reorganization the aspect of lobbying Q. May, 2015? 10 for the college was not eliminated but it was placed under 10 A. Uh-huh. 11 another department? 11 Q. Was she working for Pima Community College that 12 MS. STATON: Object to the form. 12 you know of before May? 13 THE WITNESS: It was not immediately done in terms 13 14 MS. STATON: No, she wasn't? Or no, you don't 14 of the restructuring like that. 15 know? 15 The decision was made months later that a contract A. No, she was not as far as I know. 16 lobbyist would be useful and to go through a competitive 16 17 MS. STATON: As far as you know? 17 bidding process for that contract lobbyist. And we were A. Yeah. No. I don't -- no. She was prior working 18 18 able to secure one that has been very successful for the 19 with the Southwest Gas Company. 19 college and one of the best lobbyists in the state is now Q. Do you know if Libby Howell is a lobbyist? 20 working for us and producing excellent results for the 20 MS. STATON: Objection, asked and answered. 21 21 college. It's been viewed by not just internally but THE WITNESS: Yes, she's a lobbyist. 22 22 externally as a very wise strategic decision. 23 Q. For Pima Community College? Q. But at Pima Community College inside they have a 24 A. Yes, employee lobbyist. 24 person like you still doing lobbying for the college; 25 Q. So she works for Pima Community College? 25 correct? 37 35 A. Can you rephrase the question? I'm not clear on A. Yes. She's the executive director for media, 2 still doing, what you mean by that. 2 community and government relations, and in that role she is Q. You are still, you still are doing duties of an employee lobbyist. 4 advocating and lobbying for Pima Community College; correct? Q. So Pima Community College has employees, has 5 MS. STATON: Object to the form, foundation. 5 individuals employed like you and Ms. Libby Howell that are THE WITNESS: Again, I'm unclear your on still 6 lobbying for Pima Community College and outside lobbyists; 7 doing because, as I stated earlier, I wasn't a lobbyist for 7 would that be correct? 8 the college when I was first hired. I was hired as strictly A. We have one outside contract lobbyist. 9 government relations and advanced analyst --Q. So what is the answer to my question? 10 Q. I get it. 10 MS. STATON: He just did. 11 A. -- so that's why I'm unclear with your question. 11 Q. Is the question yes? Q. So what ended up happening on the reorganization 12 A. Yes. There --13 is that while you were under Imelda Cuyugan you were an Q. Is the answer yes? I'm sorry. 13 14 analyst; correct? MS. STATON: Wait, wait. 14 15 A. Advanced analyst. 15 Q. Let me rephrase it so it's clear for the record. 16 Q. Advanced analyst. 16 A. Yes, please. 17 And after your reorganization Ms. Cuyugan was 17 Q. Just remember she's transcribing. 18 eliminated, and now after the fact what truly happened is 18 19 that you now have duties that you did not have before which Q. So what I'm understanding is that Pima Community 20 involve lobbying; correct? 20 College as of May, 2015, has employees such as you and Libby 21 MS. STATON: Object to the form. 21 Howell lobbying for the college and an outside lobbyist 22 THE WITNESS: I was asked to take on additional lobbying for the college; correct? 22 23 duties including lobbying, yes, and not just myself, the new 23 MS. STATON: Object to the form of the question. 24 director of the new department, media, community and 24 Misstates his testimony.

25

THE WITNESS: Yes, that is correct. And the

25 government relations department, executive director, Libby